

United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,388	06/20/2003	Daniel M. Wong	O17011293001	8538
23639 7590 07/02/2007 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, JENISE E	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
				· .
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/600,388	WONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jenise E. Jackson	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 December 2005</u> .							
, <u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-12,14-19,21 and 26-32 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12,14-19,21 and 26-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquirament						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	n □ 1-4 1 - 6 - 1	(DTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/600,388

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-19, 26-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bernstein et al(5,884,316).
- 3. As per claims 1, 26, Bernstein et al discloses establishing a session on behalf of a user(see col. 4, lines 33-40, 59-67); receiving a request to enable database privileges for the user(see col. 5, lines 50-55, col. 6, lines 24-36); verifying trusted security logic has been executed prior to receiving the request to enable database privileges, wherein the act of verifying the trusted security logic includes verifying a proxy user; and enabling database privileges for the user if the trusted security logic has been executed prior to receiving the request to enable the database privileges(see col. 2, lines 50-67, col. 5, lines 50-55, col. 6, lines 24-36).
- 4. As per claims 2, 15, 27, Bernstein discloses storing call information in one or more frames of a call stack(see fig. 3 sheet 4); and wherein the act of verifying includes determining whether the one or more frames of the call stack corresponds to the trusted security logic(see col. 4, lines 40-50).
- 5. As per claims 3, 16, 28, Bernstein discloses wherein the act of verifying the trusted security logic comprises verifying an application name(see col. 5, lines 1-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,388

Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

6. As per claims 4, 17, 29, Bernstein discloses wherein the act of verifying the trusted security logic further includes verifying a security function name(see col. 6, lines 24-36).

- 7. As per claims 5, 18, 30, Bernstein discloses wherein the act of verifying trusted security logic comprises verifying a module name(see col. 6, lines 24-36).
- 8. As per claims 6, 19, 31, Bernstein discloses collecting one or more session parameters; comparing the one or more session parameters against a set of trusted security parameters defined in a security function; and returning a result indicating whether the one or more session parameters matches the set of trusted security parameters(see col. 6, lines 36-50, 62-67).
- 9. As per claim 9, Bernstein discloses a client-server computer system(see fig. 1 sheet 1, col. 3, lines 21-23), a computer including: a processor(see col. 3, lines 25-26), a main memory communicatively coupled to the processor(see col. 3, lines 25-30); and a disk storage communicatively coupled to the processor; a database running on the computer from the main memory(see col. 3, lines 45-67, col. 5, lines 50-55), the database further including: one or more data structures stored in the disk storage, and a call stack stored in the main memory(see col. 5, lines 1-22); an application program coupled to the database and configured to support a user; and a metadata repository embodied in the one or more data structures stored in the disk storage, the metadata repository comprising trusted security logic; wherein the application program is configured to initiate a call to enable database privileges(see col. 5, lines 50-55, col. 6, lines 24-36), the call causing call information to be stored in one or more frames of the call stack and one or more security functions to be executed; and wherein the database is configured to: verify the call stack comprises one or more frames corresponding to the trusted security logic; test a proxy user; and enable database privileges for the user if the trusted security logic is contained in the

Application/Control Number: 10/600,388 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

one or more frames of the call stack(see col. 2, lines 50-67, col. 5, lines 50-55, col. 6, lines 24-36).

- 10. As per claim 10, Bernstein discloses wherein the application program resides with the database in the computer(see col. 5, lines 50-55).
- 11. As per claim 11, Bernstein discloses wherein the application program resides on a separate computer communicatively coupled to the database(see col. 5, lines 50-63).
- 12. As per claim 12, Bernstein discloses wherein the trusted security logic includes a schema name and a security package name(see col. 5, lines 50-55, col. 6, lines 24-49).
- 13. As per claim 14, Bernstein discloses have stored therein one or more sequences of instructions for enabling privileges(see col. 5, lines 50-55, col. 6, lines 24-36), the one or more sequences of instructions causing one or more processors to perform a number of acts, said acts including establishing a session on behalf of a user(see col. 4, lines 33-40, 59-67); receiving a request to enable database privileges for the user; verifying trusted security logic has been executed prior to receiving the request to enable database privileges, wherein the act of verifying the trusted security logic includes verifying a proxy user; and enabling database privileges for the user if the trusted security logic has been executed prior to receiving the request to enable the database privileges(see col. 2, lines 50-67, col. 5, lines 50-55, col. 6, lines 24-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 15. Claims 8, 21, 32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein(5,884,316) in view of Fisher et al(6,092,189).
- 16. As per claims 8, 21, 32, Bernstein does not disclose receiving information identifying the user; prompting the user for a password; authenticating the user based on information stored in an application program; and associating the user with a role. As per claims 8, 21, 32, Fisher discloses receiving information identifying the user; prompting the user for a password(see col. 15, lines 42-44); authenticating the user based on information stored in an application program; and associating the user with a role(see col. 15, lines 45-51, col. 31, lines 30-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include receiving information identifying the user, prompting the user for a password, authenticating the user based on information stored in an application program; and associating the user with a role of Fisher with Bernstein, because database security is maintained through the control of access to the database tables by the DBMS. Data that is considered privileged can be protected from access by those persons or programs that should not see it(see col. 39, lines 54-67 of Fisher).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Shiekh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,388

Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 19, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINED